

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

Credit Opinion: Aktia Bank p.l.c.

Global Credit Research - 16 Dec 2015

Helsinki, Finland

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Bank Deposits	A3/P-2
Baseline Credit Assessment	baa2
Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment	baa2
Counterparty Risk Assessment	A2(cr)/P-1(cr)
Senior Unsecured	A3
Other Short Term -Dom Curr	(P)P-2

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Alberto Postigo/Madrid	34.91.768.8200
Giovanni Fontana/London	44.20.7772.5454
Sean Marion/London	
Malika Takhtayeva/London	

Key Indicators

Aktia Bank p.l.c. (Consolidated Financials)[1]

	[2]9-15	[2]12-14	[3]12-13	[3]12-12	[3]12-11	Avg.
Total Assets (EUR million)	9,723.1	10,423.8	10,907.3	11,240.2	9,993.1	[4]-0.7
Total Assets (USD million)	10,853.4	12,613.3	15,029.6	14,819.0	12,972.6	[4]-4.4
Tangible Common Equity (EUR million)	484.4	481.4	473.7	451.0	326.0	[4]10.4
Tangible Common Equity (USD million)	540.7	582.5	652.8	594.6	423.2	[4]6.3
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%)	-	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	[5]1.6
Tangible Common Equity / Risk Weighted Assets (%)	22.8	14.8	13.7	12.5	8.8	[6]18.8
Problem Loans / (Tangible Common Equity + Loan Loss Reserve) (%)	-	18.7	19.9	21.1	29.9	[5]22.4
Net Interest Margin (%)	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.3	[5]1.1
PPI / Average RWA (%)	2.9	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.3	[6]2.4
Net Income / Tangible Assets (%)	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	[5]0.5
Cost / Income Ratio (%)	66.4	68.6	70.7	71.1	73.1	[5]70.0
Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	32.6	40.2	48.0	52.9	54.4	[5]45.6
Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	22.6	23.9	22.3	21.7	24.3	[5]23.0
Gross loans / Due to customers (%)	152.9	162.7	180.8	200.1	196.1	[5]178.5

Source: Moody's

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] Basel III - fully-loaded or transitional phase-in; IFRS [3] Basel II; IFRS [4] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on IFRS reporting periods [5] IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation [6] Basel III - fully-loaded or transitional phase-in & IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

Aktia's deposit ratings of A3/Prime-2 and senior debt ratings of A3 reflect (1) the bank's baa2 baseline credit assessment (BCA); (2) the rating uplift from our Advanced Loss Given Failure (LGF) analysis; and (3) our assumption of low government support which results in no uplift. Aktia's Counterparty Risk Assessment (CR Assessment) is A2(cr)/Prime-1(cr).

Aktia's baseline credit assessment of baa2 reflects the bank's well established - albeit small - franchise, prudent risk and liquidity management and good financial fundamentals, particularly asset quality and capital adequacy. Key elements constraining the BCA include the bank's limited position in a competitive market and reliance on wholesale funding, the latter a common feature of Nordic banks.

Under our Advanced LGF analysis, Aktia's long-term deposit and senior unsecured debt ratings take into account the bank's very low loss-given-failure because of its high volume of subordinated and senior unsecured debt outstanding and large deposit base, leading to two notches of uplift from the bank's baa2 adjusted BCA.

RATING DRIVERS

- Aktia's BCA is supported by Finland's strong+ macro profile
- Franchise is negatively affected by the weakening relationship with the POP and savings banks
- Strong asset quality and capitalisation
- Profitability remains moderate although efficiency shows signs of improving
- Gradually reducing reliance on market funding
- Very low loss given failure leads to two notches uplift to senior debt and deposit ratings

RATING OUTLOOK

The outlook on Aktia's ratings is stable.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING - UP

Upward pressure on the bank's ratings could develop if it exhibits sustained strong profitability, efficiency combined with strong asset quality.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING - DOWN

Downward rating pressure could develop as a result of deteriorated asset quality and/or weaker profitability. A smaller cushion of liabilities eligible for bail-in could also trigger a downgrade under our Advanced LGF analysis.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

The financial data in the following sections are sourced from Aktia's financial statements unless otherwise stated.

AKTIA'S BCA IS SUPPORTED BY FINLAND'S STRONG+ MACRO PROFILE

Aktia is doing business only in Finland which is powered by an innovation-driven economy, characterised by high living standards and a predictable economic policy framework. Post- crisis, the country's public finances are in much better shape than those of many other advanced economies despite similar struggles to revive economic growth and increasing debt. This stable framework benefits the Finnish banking sector which however exhibits significant reliance on wholesale funding, exposing banks to swings in investor sentiment. This risk is more pronounced in Finland compared to Nordic peers, because the country benefits to a lesser extent from an established, captive, investor community like countries like Sweden and Denmark.

FRANCHISE IS NEGATIVELY AFFECTED BY THE WEAKENING RELATIONSHIP WITH THE POP AND SAVINGS BANKS

Aktia is the fourth-largest bank in Finland by total assets. It reported a market share of 4.1% of housing loans and 3.9% of deposits at 30 September 2015. Loans to households comprise 88% of its loan portfolio (92% if housing associations are included) with smaller loan amounts granted to corporates (7%) and not-for-profit organisations

(1%) at 30 September 2015. Aktia operates through 50 branches, located mainly in the coastal areas of Finland, around Helsinki and the country's largest inland towns.

For a long period, Aktia's franchise benefitted from its role as a strategic partner for independent savings banks and local co-operative banks, both in terms of its role as the central credit institution for these banks, as well as through product distribution and many smaller agreements. In January 2013, Aktia announced that it would cease to act as the central credit institution for these banks in order to allocate capital more effectively and to strengthen its own profitability. This decision followed another announcement in September 2012 that it would issue covered bonds from its own balance sheet and that the shared funding vehicle, Aktia Real Estate Mortgage Bank (Aktia-REMB), will be run-off. Overall, we deem the termination of the cooperation as negative for Aktia's franchise.

In October 2015 Aktia announced that it had reached an agreement with the minority owners of Aktia-REMB (savings banks and POP banks) to acquire their minority stake in the funding vehicle. The parties also agreed to reduce the balance sheet of Aktia-REMB before the acquisition is completed, which is expected to take place in early 2017, once the financial statements of Aktia-REMB for 2016 are published. Subsequently to the acquisition, Aktia-REMB will merge with Aktia.

STRONG ASSET QUALITY AND CAPITALISATION

Aktia displays relatively strong asset quality as a reflection of its focus on the Finnish retail sector. The institution's problem loan ratio is consistently below 2%, which is comparable with many Nordic banks.

Industry concentration is well contained given the bank's focus on the retail sector, with commercial real estate being the largest sector exposure, representing less than 3% of the total loan book at year end-2014.

Aktia has a strong capital position, with a tangible-common-equity (TCE)-to-risk-weighted-assets (RWAs) ratio of 22.8% as of 30 September 2015. This ratio is considerably higher compared to year end-2014 because in February 2015 the Finnish FSA granted the Aktia group permission to use the internal ratings based approach (IRB), starting from end-March 2015, to calculate credit risks and capital ratios. As a consequence of this development, the bank's risk weighted assets declined by 31.5% in the first quarter of 2015.

Likewise, Aktia shows a strong capitalisation from a regulatory perspective, with a reported common equity tier 1 ratio of 22.4% and a total capital ratio of 27.7% at 30 June 2015. The acquisition of the minority interest of Aktia-REMB will have a negative impact in the regulatory capital of Aktia following the reclassification of the minority interest as a liability (estimated by the bank at approximately 200 percentage points), but will concurrently rise for a similar amount with savings banks and POP banks repurchasing their respective shares of Aktia-REMB's loan book. The acquisition of the minority interest will be broadly neutral in terms of TCE, given that it does not include the minority interest as a capital component, but it will however benefit from the decline in RWAs following the repurchase of Aktia-REMB loans.

Our assessment of Aktia's capitalisation also captures its nominal leverage, which has traditionally been high with a ratio of TCE over total assets below 5%, which has not increased as significantly as its TCE ratio after the introduction of the IRB approach. Overall, we adjust Aktia macro-adjusted score of aa1 for capital, consistent with its TCE ratio as of September 2015, negatively by three notches to a1 in order to account for the bank's relatively high nominal leverage.

PROFITABILITY REMAINS MODERATE ALTHOUGH EFFICIENCY SHOWS SIGNS OF IMPROVING

Finnish banks operate in a highly competitive market effectively concentrated around four players. The rated system-wide net interest margin stands at approximately 50 basis points, which is low compared to Norway, for example, where the equivalent figure is well in excess of 100 basis points. Aktia, as a small player in the Finnish household lending space, has a limited ability to influence lending rates and consequently its profitability.

It is in the above context that Aktia introduced multiple measures under its "Action Plan 2015". Our assessment is that the purpose of these measures is to improve Aktia's efficiency which historically has not been as good compared to its larger Finnish peers. Under Action Plan 2015, Aktia announced (1) no longer to act as central financial institution (debt office) for many smaller savings and POP banks in Finland; (2) to reduce its number of staff (by around 50) and branches (eight); (3) to introduce a new core banking platform; and (4) to simplify the group organisation structure. The implementation of the cited plan, the benefits of which are still pending to materialise, should allow the bank to reduce the cost-to-income ratio, which stood at 66% at 30 September 2015.

Aktia's operating revenues have remained broadly stable in the first nine months of 2015, despite the challenging low interest rate environment. The bank's net interest income has declined by 5% year-over-year, but Aktia has

more than compensated the decline with higher fee and commission income (9% increase). Overall, operating income declined by 3% year-over-year, owing to smaller profits arising from financial transactions.

Aktia's historic earnings suggest a Profitability score of baa2, which we adjust negatively by one notch to account for the negative developments on the bank's franchise (see Franchise section above).

GRADUALLY REDUCING RELIANCE ON MARKET FUNDING

Aktia is gradually reducing its reliance on market funding on the back of increased funding through customer deposits, which we view positively because it reduces susceptibility to sudden changes in investor sentiment. Moreover, the majority of market funding is in the form of covered bonds, which are subject to lower refinancing risk compared to unsecured bonds. Moody's calculated market funds to tangible banking assets ratio decreased to 32.6% at 30 September 2015 compared to 53% at the end of 2012.

The issuance of covered bonds results in the structural subordination of senior creditors, including depositors. At the same time we recognise that Aktia, and the Finnish banking sector in general, have been less affected by volatility in financial markets than other European banking systems in recent years.

Aktia's liquidity portfolio accounts for approximately a quarter of the balance sheet and is of good quality. Liquid assets mainly include covered bonds and highly rated sovereign debt.

Notching Considerations

LOSS GIVEN FAILURE AND ADDITIONAL NOTCHING

We apply our advance LGF analysis to Aktia as the bank is subject to the EU Bank Resolution and Recovery Directive, which we consider to be an Operational Resolution Regime. For this analysis we assume that equity and losses stand at 3% and 8%, respectively, of tangible banking assets in a failure scenario. We also assume a 25% run-off of "junior" wholesale deposits and a 5% run-off in preferred deposits. Moreover, we assign a 25% probability to junior deposits being preferred to senior unsecured debt. These are in line with our standard assumptions.

We believe that Aktia's deposits are likely to face very low loss-given-failure, owing to the loss absorption provided by subordinated and senior unsecured debt (should deposits be treated preferentially in a resolution). In addition, the bank has a large deposit base, meaning that any losses would be spread over a large base, thus translating into low losses for the individual depositor. Aktia's long-term deposit ratings consequently receive a two-notch uplift to A3.

Aktia's senior unsecured debt ratings also receive a two-notch uplift to A3, owing to a cushion of subordinated debt and a large volume of senior unsecured debt that would share losses under our Advanced LGF analysis given a currently unlikely failure scenario.

GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

Aktia's ratings do not benefit from government support.

COUNTERPARTY RISK ASSESSMENT

We assign a long-term and short-term CR assessment of A2(cr) and P-1(cr) respectively.

CR Assessments are opinions of how counterparty obligations are likely to be treated if a bank fails and are distinct from debt and deposit ratings in that they (1) consider only the risk of default rather than the likelihood of default and the expected financial loss suffered in the event of default and (2) apply to counterparty obligations and contractual commitments rather than debt or deposit instruments. The CR assessment is an opinion of the counterparty risk related to a bank's covered bonds, contractual performance obligations (servicing), derivatives (e.g., swaps), letters of credit, guarantees and liquidity facilities.

About Moody's Bank Scorecard

Our Scorecard is designed to capture, express and explain in summary form our Rating Committee's judgment. When read in conjunction with our research, a fulsome presentation of our judgment is expressed. As a result, the output of our Scorecard may materially differ from that suggested by raw data alone (though it has been calibrated to avoid the frequent need for strong divergence). The Scorecard output and the individual scores are discussed in

rating committees and may be adjusted up or down to reflect conditions specific to each rated entity.

Rating Factors

Aktia Bank p.l.c.

Macro Factors	
Weighted Macro Profile	Strong +

Financial Profile						
Factor	Historic Ratio	Macro Adjusted Score	Credit Trend	Assigned Score	Key driver #1	Key driver #2
Solvency						
Asset Risk						
<i>Problem Loans / Gross Loans</i>	1.6%	a1	← →	a1	Quality of assets	
Capital						
<i>TCE / RWA</i>	22.8%	aa1	← →	a1	Nominal leverage	
Profitability						
<i>Net Income / Tangible Assets</i>	0.5%	baa2	← →	baa3	Expected trend	
Combined Solvency Score		a1		a2		
Liquidity						
Funding Structure						
<i>Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets</i>	40.2%	b1	← →	b1	Extent of market funding reliance	
Liquid Resources						
<i>Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets</i>	23.9%	baa1	← →	baa1	Stock of liquid assets	
Combined Liquidity Score		ba1		ba1		

Financial Profile	baa1
Qualitative Adjustments	Adjustment
Business Diversification	-1
Opacity and Complexity	0
Corporate Behavior	0
Total Qualitative Adjustments	-1
Sovereign or Affiliate constraint	Aaa
Scorecard Calculated BCA range	baa1 - baa3
Assigned BCA	baa2
Affiliate Support	0

notching

Adjusted BCA

baa2

Instrument Class	Loss Given Failure notching	Additional notching	Preliminary Rating Assessment	Government Support notching	Local Currency rating	Foreign Currency rating
Deposits	2	0	a3	0	A3	A3
Senior unsecured bank debt	2	0	a3	0	A3	A3

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <http://www.moody's.com> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained

herein is provided “AS IS” without warranty of any kind. MOODY’S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY’S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY’S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody’s Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY’S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY’S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY’S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY’S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY’S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY’S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody’s Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody’s Corporation (“MCO”), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS’s ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading “Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy.”

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY’S affiliate, Moody’s Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody’s Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to “wholesale clients” within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY’S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a “wholesale client” and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to “retail clients” within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY’S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for “retail clients” to make any investment decision based on MOODY’S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY’S Japan K.K. (“MJKK”) is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY’S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody’s Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody’s SF Japan K.K. (“MSFJ”) is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (“NRSRO”). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as

applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.